

Web-Based Project Management

Professor Mustafa Alshawhi

Professor Alshawhi is a Professor of Management and IT in Construction at the School of Construction and Property Management. He is the Director and founder of the MSc course in Information Technology in Property and Construction at the University of Salford (1990). He is also the Editor in Chief of the international journal of "Construction Innovation: Information process and management" (formerly the International Journal of Construction Information Technology) and the author of more than hundreded publications in the field of integrated environments, databases, IT strategies, CAD, planning automation, etc. His leading work in management of information in collaborative environments, integrated databases in construction and computer integration are internationally known.

Professor Mustafa Alshawhi



Associate Head of School Enterprise
School of Construction & Property Management
University of Salford
Salford. M5 4WT.
Tel +44 (0) 161 295 5128
Fax +44 (0) 161 2955130
Email: m.a.alshawhi@salford.ac.uk

Bingunath Ingirige

Bingunath Ingirige is a PhD candidate at the School of Construction and Property Management, University of Salford. His main research interest is in knowledge creation in collaborative environments. He is also the Coordinator of The Network on Information Standardisation, Exchanges and Management in Construction (SIENE) an IMI / EPSRC funded research project.

Bingunath Ingirige

School of Construction and Property Management
The University of Salford
Bridgewater Building
Salford. M7 9NU.
Tel: +44 (0) 161-295-5136
Fax: +44 (0) 161-295-5011
Email: M.J.B.Ingirige@pgr.salford.ac.uk



Comments by Reviewers



“I am particularly pleased that it recognises the importance of process and people in the successful implementation of these systems – a point we at BIW feel is often overlooked and one which we have worked long and hard to address in our offer”

Director of Research
BIW Technologies Ltd.

“Generally I found the report to be extremely useful review of current systems targeted at the project collaboration environment. This is not an easy task given the rate of developments in this area”

IT Director
Laing Construction Ltd.

“I found the report informative and the tables and service comparison details useful”

Director
Causeway Technologies Group Limited

“This report goes back to the basics of project management in a simple clear way, which is essential to set the scene for the reader to understand how can modern technology, mainly web based, be harnessed to achieve more efficient project management and added value to the client”

An Academic
University of Loughborough


“This report is informative and generally reflects our experience very well. The review of systems available in the market is informative and valuable”.

Director
Pearce Group Architects



Contents


	List of Cases and Acronyms Used	1.
	Executive Summary	2.
1.0	Introduction	3.
2.0	Evolution of project management and shortcomings	4.
3.0	Challenges facing project management	6.
4.0	Limitations of Current Project Management Practices	7.
5.0	The Influence Of The Internet And The Business Models On Project Management.	9.
5.1	Emphasis on Communication and the Internet	
5.2	Emphasis on Business Models	
6.0	Communications Over The Internet: Types and Levels	13.
6.1	Data exchange across applications / organisations / industry	
6.2	Types of data exchange	
7.0	Web-Enabled Project Management Software	15.
7.1	Tender Stage	
7.2	Design and Construction Stage	
7.3	Trading (E-Commerce)	
7.4	Availability of Web-enabled project management software	
8.0	Samples Of Web-Enabled Project Management Software	18.
9.0	Web-Enabled Project Management: Case Studies	23.
9.1	INMANCO	
9.2	ALCOA	
9.3	CATHQUARTER	
9.4	TOTINS	
9.5	3COM	
10.0	Analysis and discussion	28.
11.0	The Way Forward	30.
12.0	References	32.



List of Cases & Acronyms Used

This section consists of case references.
The acronyms of cases are displayed in uppercase bold characters.

- **INMANCO**
Cost savings through electronic document management (EDM)
([Http://www.cite.org.uk](http://www.cite.org.uk))
The project is concerned with an international firm of management and construction consultants. The case study is referenced as **INMANCO**
- **The ALCOA project.**
([Http://www.newgrange.org/tools_for_the_virtual_project_ma.htm](http://www.newgrange.org/tools_for_the_virtual_project_ma.htm))
The project team consist of members of ALCOA (an aluminium company), Microsoft, Hewlett Packard (HP) and suppliers and distributors of ALCOA. The case study is referenced as **ALCOA**.
- **Project Collaboration Case Study**
(Cathedral Quarter)
([Http://www.buildonline.com](http://www.buildonline.com))
The case study is concerned with the use of the software tool, "projectsOnline" for collaboration purposes. The case study is referenced as **CATHQUARTER**.
- **The Total Information Transfer System**
(TOTINS) and the Internet Based Project Information System Between Head Office and Site (Deng et al, 2001)
The case study is referenced as **TOTINS**
- **Electronic Exchange of Project Information**
3COM project, phase 2)
([Http://www.cite.org.uk](http://www.cite.org.uk))
The case study is referenced as **3COM**



Executive Summary

This report addresses the emerging paradigm of performing project management over the web. It describes the pitfalls in current project management practices amidst the challenges they have to face due to increasing project complexities. The report critically examines the general capabilities of the software that is available to perform project management over the web and gives a few samples that are widely used in the industry. With the use of five case studies it explains the benefits and the limitations of the practical usage of this approach.


At present the government, industry and clients are all seeking to bring about change in the construction industry to improve quality, competitiveness and profitability and increase value to clients. The findings of this report will highlight how web-enabled project management and its associated features are strongly linked at fulfilling the above endeavours of the parties concerned.

The report is targeted at:

- Project managers who could improve their abilities to track and manage project documents, measure progress and easily set roles and responsibilities to their workers
- Clients who could be well informed of project's progress and ensure the projects are completed well in time and according to the set budget and quality levels.
- Consultants and suppliers who will notice an increase in business and productivity resulting in more clients seeking to use their experience of working collaboratively.
- Contractors who could lower their costs, minimise wastage and improve their overall business processes.

Generally all project members could benefit through web enabled project management due to its ability to provide an instant snapshot of the state of play of all projects and the capability to promote a consistent format for sharing project files throughout the entire supply chain.

The report emphasises that the technologies involved in bringing about the change that is necessary with respect to web-enabled project management should be integrated with the business processes of construction firms. Such a strategic direction should prevent resource wastages and unrewarding investment. In order to successfully embrace web-enabled project management and achieve business benefits it must consider not only the technology, but also give equal prominence to processes and people who are involved in them.



1.0 Introduction

“Project management” and “projects” are not new concepts. Throughout history, vast projects of different magnitudes have been undertaken successfully across generations. Project management first emerged in the early fifties on large defence projects (Peters, 1981) and gradually smaller organisations took to adapting the idea and currently the smallest construction firms are known to operate project management in some form. A great deal of project management involves avoiding problems, tackling new ground, managing a group of people and trying to achieve very clear objectives quickly and efficiently (Reiss, 1995b).

Project management is essentially about managing a project from an idea through to completion (Peters, 1981) and it needs to be discussed in terms of various stages of a project life cycle. A project could be viewed as a system, which is dynamic and ever changing from one stage to another in a life cycle. Considering a generic project, its status changes from that of an idea or a concept through to feasibility studies, execution and finally completion. (Peters, 1981). But projects today are far more complicated than ever before. They involve larger capital investments, embraces several disciplines, widely dispersed project participants, tighter schedules, stringent quality standards etc. These factors coupled with high-speed developments in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) have influenced project management practices to take a new turn taking advantage of newly developed management tools and the latest technology.

This report briefly sets out the evolution of project management, the challenges and problems facing the current project management practices. It then discusses the impact of the latest advances in technology on project management and the emerging paradigm of performing project management over the Web. Further, the report presents a number of case studies to demonstrate the merits of using the Internet for project management followed by the analysis and the way forward.

2.0 Evolution of Project Management

After World War Two, the complexities of projects and a shrinking wartime labour supply demanded new organisational structures. PERT charts and critical path method (CPM) were introduced giving managers greater control over massively engineered and extremely complex projects. These techniques spread to many industries as business leaders sought new management strategies and tools to handle their growth in a quickly changing and competitive world. This trend was enhanced by the availability and sophistication of advanced software packages, which are fully capable of addressing these techniques coupled with easy to use interfaces. Thus, project management was taken up initially by large firms and later on by smaller firms and now even the smallest firms are known to operate project management in some form.

There are many definitions for project management. However irrespective of the nature of the project or the type of project in question, it is defined as the management of the project from its initial conception to its ultimate completion and its maintenance. In construction, however, the term is used frequently to refer to site or construction management rather than taking a holistic view of the project from the conceptual stage (preparation of the client brief) to its ultimate completion and maintenance (facilities management).

Walker (1984: 5) provides a comprehensive definition for construction project management.

“Construction Project Management is the planning, control and coordination of a project from conception to completion (including commissioning) on behalf of a client. It is concerned with the identification of the client’s objectives in terms of utility, function, quality, time and cost, and the establishment of relationships between resources. The integration, monitoring and control of the contributions to the project and


their output, and the evaluation and selection of alternatives in pursuit of the client’s satisfaction with the project outcome are fundamental aspects of project Management”.


The project management Institute (PMI) define project management as:

“the art of directing and coordinating human and material resources throughout the life of a project by using modern management techniques to achieve predetermined objectives of scope, quality, time and cost, and participant satisfaction.”

The PMI definition stresses the achievements of predetermined project objectives, which normally refer to scope, quality, time, cost and participant satisfaction and directly link them to the project life cycle. A construction project goes through various stages along the path to completion. In a typical project, the status changes from that of an idea or concept, through to feasibility studies, execution and finally completion. The project life cycle, according to the RIBA (2000), is divided into a number of stages each of which has assigned project management practices and project manager(s) with defined responsibilities. These stages are:

- Inception
- Feasibility studies
- Schematic design
- Detail Design
- Production Information
- Bills of Quantities
- Tendering
- Project Planning
- Construction
- Project Completion






RIBA (2000) has well defined the roles of the different participants such as architects, engineers, surveyors, planners, project managers, contractors and sub contractors in each of the above stages. These roles are focused on managing and co-ordinating the project information and the flow among the various participants with the aim of satisfying the objectives of each stage. The overall role of project management, in this scenario, is to harmonise the functions of planning, communicating, monitoring and control in order to meet the project's overall objectives as defined by the scope, time, cost, quality and client satisfaction.

Project management has three essential requirements; thinking ahead, communicating and benchmarking/evaluation. According to (Peters, 1981) half the value of project planning is to provide the opportunity and motivation, simply to get people to think ahead about the project that they are undertaking. This process tends to reveal problems, which helps to find solutions at early stages of a project. Communication on the other hand deals with producing, issuing and circulating of reports/documents and having meetings from time to time among the project participants so that the proposed timing, method and strategy are made available and understood. Essentially the collaboration among the diverse participants in a project is measured by how effective the communication channels were managed. Communication is also important to monitor and control the project's activities according to the project plan.

Evaluation and benchmarking of the outcomes are critical to improving current practices. Communicating and feeding back information and messages to the project team is also essential to achieving the project goals by all participants. Thus, the effectiveness of the project manager to

communicate, evaluate and feedback to the rest of the project team during each stage of the life-cycle determines how efficiently the project's goals will be achieved.

Traditional project management practices have evolved over time as the requirements for managing and controlling construction projects unfolded. However, with the advances of management techniques and information and communication technology, traditional practices have proven to be insufficient in meeting the new project requirements. The manager of IBM Global Services stated, "A lot of classical project management goes out of the window". Construction Projects are being designed by diverse number of designers (which may well be located at different geographical locations), procured and managed by new partnering strategies, materials are purchased and delivered through strategic alliance with suppliers, etc. These changes have highlighted a number of weaknesses in the traditional project management practices some of which are discussed in the following section.



3.0 Challenges Facing Project Management


The government, industry and clients are all seeking to bring about change in the construction industry to improve quality, competitiveness and profitability and to increase value to clients. Implementation is carried out through initiatives such as the Construction Task Force (DETR, 1998), the Government Construction Clients Panel (GCCP), the activities of the Construction Industry Board (CIB), the Confederation of Construction Clients (CCC), and other CIB umbrella organisations. These initiatives are seeking to secure a culture of co-operation, teamwork, and continuous improvement in the performance of the industry. Where the emphasis has traditionally been on the need to manage the interface between the project and the client's organisation, it is now shifting towards the need to manage the flow of activities through the whole life cycle of the project, concentrating on those activities that actually add value.

The Egan report stresses the need for project managers to integrate projects' phases (from conception to final delivery) leading to performance improvement, and for designers to develop greater understanding of how they can contribute value in the project process and the supply chain. This pace of change is introducing new climate, which have highlighted the limitation of the current project management practices in meeting the new requirements.

The changing construction environment is also influenced by other factors, which are interrelated and interdependent. Examples of such factors are:

- Globalisation of the marketplace; many industries are facing a lot of pressures due to this factor. Tariff barriers are virtually falling and labour has become more mobile. Further, due to productivity improvements and advantages in economies of scale some foreign firms are capable of competing with local firms on price, quality and delivery. The impact of these pressures befalls on project management too. (Walker, 1984).

- The economical forces; this factor may significantly affect the client organisation and subsequently can impact the initial objectives of their projects.
- Increases in project complexity; project complexity has increased due to extent of scope and fragmented parties around the world having to communicate with one another for efficient project execution. The complexity of the projects is reflected by the large number of specialists who contribute to the decision making process.
- The need to achieve faster results with the given resources; this factor places severe time pressures on the entire project team.
- Rapid changes to project scope to expand benefits; some scope changes take place very rapidly before even realising the benefits of the changes (Lientz & Rea, 1998).
- New procurement practices; the emergence of new procurement practices change the way the team members are interrelated. For example procurement schemes such as Private Finance Initiative (PFI) and partnering have impacted construction project management (DETR, 2000). Such schemes bring the Government and the private sector firms together in large-scale infrastructure projects in which very high quality standards, tight schedules and cost targets are aimed at. With the government's greater involvement in standardising contractual procedures for PFI schemes, the commitments of all parties have become clearer and more visible.
- Client sophistication; this has become a major driver for productivity improvements in construction. Clients demand higher quality end products and services at lower price. This has created a buyers market whereby firms compete for projects at lower margins and hence demands better project management practices to enforce tighter control on the projects' activities.



4.0 Limitations of the Current Project Management Practices

In facing up to the above challenges, the current project management practices have many limitations to efficiently deal with these demands. These limitations can be categorised into the following groups:

4.1 Lack of adequate communication

Current project management practices are often isolated and are concerned with managing problems related to individual stages of the projects. The following examples are caused by such a problem:

- **Additional expenditure due to reworking**
Problems of reworking occur due to conflicting information and information not received in time to the parties concerned. The main cause is the lack of consistency in the flow of information between the different parties involved in the construction project. According to Built Environment and Transport Panel (2000) up to 30% of construction rework (an approximate proportion of the total volume of construction activity in UK) is attributable to process related problems.


For example architects/clients make changes to designs quite frequently and they do not effectively communicate these changes to the contractors and the subcontractors in time for them to be implemented efficiently, resulting in rework. This imposes a lot of strains on the client's budget. Rework in this fashion predominates most of the construction sites.
- **Lack of Integration within the Supply Chain**
The current ordering, purchasing and invoicing practices have a lot of shortcomings in terms of delays in supplies being received, less collaboration with manufacturers and suppliers and low integration of purchasing with accounts software.

For example, many delays result out of implementing current material procurement systems, which do not integrate well with project plans and schedules. The lack of a fully integrated procurement system tends to impact on stock control policies (e.g. carrying a high quantity of stock) of construction firms due to the inability to make accurate predictions of resource requirements for the project. The main reason for this is the poor communication and coordination among the supply chain partners and the overall lack of an integrated system to cater to this need.

4.2 The introduction of automation into management practices

In the 1990s there have been significant developments in technology, which have resulted in the production of very powerful software packages for the construction industry. The “ad-hoc” deployment of such packages have resulted in improvements at their local level of implementation, such as planning, estimating, design, etc but have had added limited benefits at the project level. The following examples are caused by such a problem:

- **Electronic copies vs. hard copies**
Although many construction organizations are using IT to improve specific processes/applications, the construction industry still traditionally holds the view of issuing hard copy documentation as against electronic forms for auditing and record purposes. Deng et al (2001: 241) shows how communication in the construction industry is complicated by its structural problems.



“When drawings are amended, the revised drawings or instructions need to be in hard copy form confirmed with the architect’s chop or signature and the receipt of the drawings be acknowledged by the contractors in writing. Therefore sending these documents electronically cannot complete these endorsement procedures”.



The mixing of electronic and hard copies in organizations make it difficult for project managers to process the right information as and when required.

- **Lack of software integration**
A high percentage of the project management systems (software) that are available today focus on specific tasks such as project planning and monitoring, cost control, risk management, scheduling etc. These isolated applications have resulted in a broad spread of stand-alone applications packages with no or “fixed” communication links. The industry lacks an integrated comprehensive system, which facilitates the smooth flow of information between the various stages of the project (Alshawi, 2000a; Faraj & Alshawi, 2000).
- **Lack of a international standards for information exchange**
The incompatibility between hardware and software, mentioned earlier, have raised a serious “technical” problem, which have prevented project managers to easily access and manage project information. These problems are caused by the lack of standardisation of project information that can facilitate the flow of information between incompatible hardware and software. Therefore, IT systems that are available and currently used by the industry do not consider the needs of widely dispersed participants in large construction projects (Underwood & Alshawi, 1997).

- **Lack of Proper Decision-Making Tools for Project Planning**
Planning is a lengthy process and needs contributions from the entire project team. It is also context dependent. This process can be significantly improved if appropriate decision-making tools are incorporated into their structure. Comprehensive Systems have not yet been developed in this direction.

4.3 Lack of standard processes for project management

Projects are normally managed according to the experience of the project managers who are specifically appointed for this task. Each project manager, even within the same organisation, prefers to follow his/her own experience, which has been developed over a long period of time. These practices lead to large variations in management practices and thus can create a significant impact on the capability of coordinating and controlling project information (Hunt, 1995).



5.0 The Influence of the Internet and the Business Models on Project Management

The advent of various new technologies, with the potential to address some of the limitations facing current project management practices has created a major impact on many organisations. Foremost of the new technologies is the Internet, which offers the platform for more effective communication. Many businesses throughout the world use this technology as a channel to communicate or to exchange information. The Internet has also embraced the construction industry, that it could be used as an efficient tool for communication to bring together the widely dispersed project participants and multinational project teams. On the other hand, a few are under the impression that the Internet provides an automatic solution to the fractured communication system in construction, in that they adopt a “plug and play” attitude towards the use of the Internet, thus over-emphasising the role of technology and ignoring other important processes that need to be taken into consideration in making the overall communication process more effective and efficient. Another reason for the Internet’s popularity is driven by its influence on improving measures of business performance. Various business models have also evolved, which draws upon links between the use of the Internet to perform project management and to improving an organisation’s internal processes in their road to achieving excellence. The next section addresses these two issues in detail.

5.1 Emphasis on Communication and the Internet

- **Communication**

Although new techniques of project management evolved, it took some time before people realised that communication plays a vital role in solving each of these problems. Scanlin (1998) points out that communication consumes about 75% to 90% of a project

manager’s time and information therefore needs to be current and available on demand. He explains how the “Bell Atlantic project management centre of excellence” communicates standard processes, templates, tools; inform internal clients about training classes, internal video conferences and networking opportunities; share lessons learned and recognise outstanding performance through their website. Biggs (1997) also lists communication as the root cause of most project failures. She notes that the latest web-based solutions which can be linked with email or collaborative software can reduce the incidence of people related issues and overall communication problems which lead to project failures. Deng et al (2001) point out that the extensive physical distance between project participants, extending over national boundaries is the main cause leading to delays in decision-making. Wide communication problems, ranging from delays to distortion of messages, impose strains on project management in construction. Further the prohibitive cost of expenditure on making long distance telephone calls, facsimile transmission etc, have made the project management community in construction, look for more viable alternatives.

Gartner group ([Http://www3.gartner.com/init](http://www3.gartner.com/init)) has identified that the highest level of interaction across organisations generally occurs between the middle level managers in an organisation. The middle level managers are thus known as “knowledge workers”. The interactions between the knowledge workers who will be working with the available collaboration tools generate the highest potential Return on Investment (ROI) for the project. Their argument of the interactions at various levels is denoted in Figure 1.

Although there are limited contacts between the

top executives from time to time, it is the knowledge workers who collaborate more regularly on day-to-day running of the project. Gartner group has found that most of the collaborative IT tools such as Emails and Web tools are widely being used by the knowledge workers.

As a result of this emphasis on communication, new technologies have been developed for networking, information sharing, database management systems, etc. However in contrast to manufacturing and retail industries where most of the new developments have taken place, the overall construction industry has shown a relatively slow up-take of Web technologies to improve its practices (Building, 2001). The situation is changing however as more and more firms in the construction industry started to realise the benefits of improving communications between the projects’ participants which can lead to improved cost efficiency, better quality and competitive advantage.

- **The Internet**

It is expected that the Internet will be of a great potential to project managers over the next five years. The Internet provides speedy information transfer, so messages not only can reach the recipients more speedily and accurately, but also can be traceable. Besides, it saves money for construction companies in having to communicate with their overseas construction sites because the cost of providing and maintaining the Internet to construction sites costs less compared to couriering and IDD telephone usage. On the other hand it makes a lot of sense in providing an Internet link between the firms due to the transfer of a very high volume of information across sites and the head office and between other firms. The Internet is a global network, which does not have restrictions on locations, time or different computer operating systems.

Rapid evolution of communications technologies is making distributed projects increasingly viable (Ly, 1997). The project participants could be widely dispersed, yet





Figure 1 – Human Interactions at Various Levels in two Organisations

Gartner Group, presentation at Construct IT meeting 2001



coordinated by sophisticated tools. The increasing availability and the usage of the Internet by small to very large-scale construction organisations has enabled project management to be performed over the web.

There are many technological advances, which have enabled companies to adopt project management over the web. Virtual meetings and tele- and audio-conferencing are such developments, which have been adopted by some of the project management software. Ellis ([Http://www.newgrange.org](http://www.newgrange.org)) mentions that the technologies for teleconferencing in the past fell short of being fully effective as a result of the dismissive initial costs and transmission charges, but with today's availability of the Internet, higher CPU and modem speeds, it is possible to connect up boardroom to boardroom.

All parts of the project can become one community using teleconferencing and other collaborative tools. Tele and audio-conferencing bring the widely dispersed project team together. For example Takaneka Corporation of Japan (Sakamoto et al, 1997) developed a technology that enables every participant of a project, even in distant locations to work together by using the Internet as an information management platform. The project website (accessible through user ID and password) apart from having access to all project related information such as progress report, photographs, CAD data, minutes of meeting, etc., it has also had the facility of a virtual conference room where all project information is available.


5.2 Emphasis on Business Models

Another dimension of the factors that influence project management practices is to do with business models mentioned earlier. These endeavours of business performance measurement and achieving business excellence are found in many industries. Scanlin (1998) cites the worldwide evolutions of quality management programs such as ISO 9000 and the Malcolm Baldrige program in the United States as the main drivers for the growth of software use for project management. Some of the business excellence models such as the EFQM excellence model explain how project management systems have been influenced.

European Foundation for Quality Management (EFQM) has developed a model, which is being widely adopted by thousands of organisations ([Http://www.efqm.org](http://www.efqm.org)). It is a non-prescriptive framework based on nine criteria. Five of these are "enablers" and four are "results". The enabler criteria cover what an organisation does. The "results" criteria cover what an organisation achieves. Results are caused by enablers. The model is depicted in Figure 2 opposite.

The model recognises that there are many approaches to achieving sustainable excellence in all aspects of performance. It is based on the premise that: excellent results with respect to performance, customers, people and society are achieved through partnerships and resources and processes.

Through a process of self-assessment against the EFQM excellence model, a project management performance could be measured. Eventually the project manager can identify clearly its strengths and those areas in which improvements can be made. Performing project management over the web will improve a project's processes, contribute to a more focussed strategy for the project and



motivate the project participants due to online collaboration and its benefits such as the ability to track project progress online, fast access to up-to-date information, increased dynamism of the project, etc. These improvements can be measured by a scoring method, which enables an organisation to perform a self-assessment exercise, by comparison with other organisations. At the heart of the EFQM excellence model is the tool to perform the self-assessment exercise: RADAR, which stands for results, approach, deployment, assessment and review. RADAR achieves the self-assessment of new approaches such as web-based project management by the following stepwise approach (Jackson, 1999).

The steps to be followed are:

- Determining the financial, operational and stakeholder perception results the organisation is aiming for with the use of the web-based software;
- Plan and develop a sound approach for achieving the proposed results;
- Deploy the approaches in a systematic way so that full investigation is achieved; and
- Assess and review the approaches by undertaking regular measurement, which in turn promotes learning and leads to improvement activities where necessary.

Furthermore Jackson (1999) believes that the scope of the results needs to address the relevant areas of the organisation. This improves the overall score in the self-assessment exercise indicating an excellent organisation, hence the use of the Internet for project management can become a major driver of performance.





Figure 2 – The EFQM Model (Source: [Http://www.efqm.org](http://www.efqm.org))



6.0 Communications Over The Internet: Types And Levels

The Internet's ability, as a technology, to bring the diverse participants of a construction project in an effective collaborative environment is constrained due to several reasons. That is the Internet alone cannot create an environment of interoperability among the various participants (Alshaw, 2000b). This is mainly attributed to the type and format of the exchanged data / documents as well as to the different hardware and software systems in organisations. This section addresses this issue with the aim of clarifying the types and levels of data exchange, which are required for effective project management.

6.1 What is data exchange?

Data exchange can be generically defined as the process of transferring relevant/common information between different construction parties with the aim of minimising data re-entry and duplication. Data exchange can take place across several organisations, construction applications, professionals, etc. Such an exchange involves

different types and amounts of information depending on the nature of the organisation, experience, project, etc. Therefore, in order to understand the requirements and techniques, which are required for exchanging data, it is important to distinguish between the various types of data exchange. We can identify three levels for data exchange: industry level, organisation level, and application level. These issues are illustrated in the following example.

Figure 3 shows computer applications in a small design practice. Within its main departments, i.e. design, mechanical and electrical, quantity surveying, project management and marketing, there are a number of unlinked computer systems. Each department has its own hardware and software and in many cases are incompatible. For example, the architects may run a number of CAD software on different platforms e.g. AutoCAD on PC and Intergraph on Workstations. At the same time the structural engineers are running their own systems, which are most likely to be incompatible with the architects' systems. At the other end,





Figure 3: An example of office hardware



there are the other departments running their own computer systems, which fulfil their own needs and requirements. Starting from the point where the architects first produce design drawings, they pass on to different departments in the design practice in order to carry out structural, mechanical and electrical designs, as such they pass through different computer systems and applications.

6.2 Data exchange across applications/organisations /industry


During the design stage, for example, drawings need to be exchanged between designers to fulfil the client's objectives. Such drawings are either exchanged via printouts, in which case they have to be re-drawn, or via a disk/network if the design applications are compatible or can read a standard data format such as (DXF). This type of data exchange we refer to as organisation data exchange. When designers alter the design drawing due to changing client requirements, these alterations might have a direct impact on other members of the team within the same organisation, in which case they have to be informed. If this is not carried out in a professional manner it might have devastating consequences. This type of data exchange is referred to as application data exchange. At the tender stage, the design practice dispatches the tender to contractors and possibly to the client. This process can be carried out either via disk/networks if the systems are compatible, which is seldom the case, or via special communication standards, such as Electronic Data Interchange (EDI), which enable all applications to be read in any format. This type of data exchange is referred to as industry data exchange.

6.3 Types of data exchange

The type of information, which can be exchanged between applications, can be categorised into two groups; the element / object group and the document group. Information transferred at the element or object level is normally detailed and can impact the outcome of the application. For example, information about a beam or a column can be exchanged between two design packages. Any changes to this type of information will automatically impact the other information, which exists in the other application. While information transferred at a document level is normally considered as an image, which can only be viewed, shared and annotated by users.

Exchanging information at the element or object level is far more difficult to manage compared to exchanging information at the document level. The former needs compatible hardware and software where information can be read and freely transferred between the applications. Or it requires common standards, which enable information to be transferred freely between applications, such as the industry foundation classes (IFC)1 developed by the International Alliance for Interoperability (IAI). The document level, on the other hand, can use standards such as the Internet to transfer documents as attachments to a Web-based application. All existing Web-based project management software are based on exchanging and sharing documents. Information is exchanged as documents, which can be stored in a single database whereby users can view, track and manipulate as and when required.

Currently, there are many commercially available software to cater for different types of document-based data exchange; they all come under the umbrella of Web-based project management. These software cater for the application needs of the different stages of the project life cycle; i.e. the tender stage (where tender documents are exchanged between clients, contractors,



7.0 Web-Enabled Project Management Software

subcontractors, etc.), and the design and construction stages, (where drawings and other documents are exchanged between project partners), and the construction stage (where buying and selling of building materials take place over the Internet).

This section briefly explains the main features of the currently available Web-based software, which comes under the umbrella of Web-enabled project management tools. They are discussed according to their use at different stages of the project life cycle.

7.1 Tender Stage


The main functions of this type of software are to advertise and distribute tender documents, select successful tenderers and award contracts. Software used in the stage can:

- Speed up the distribution of documentation and tenderers' communications
- Register tenderers online and download tenders/work packages electronically
- Provide a simple environment to evaluate the tenderers' responses through standard templates.
- Prevent unauthorised access through built in security mechanisms.
- Communicate changes in the tender documents, during the tender process, quickly and easily.

7.2 Design and Construction Stage

Project managers control and manage the exchange of documents between members of the project team so that the overall deadlines of the project are met. It is essential that each team member receives the right documents at the right time such as the latest version of drawings, specifications, requirements, etc. Web-enabled software used in this stage can:

- Reduce the risk of errors and rework by ensuring that everyone in the project team is working with the most current drawings and other documents,
- Save time in the query (request for information, RFI) and approval process, by allowing the design team to mark up and comment on drawings online.
- Eliminate the risk of losing important files, by maintaining all current and past versions in one central location.
- Improve team communication by enabling team members to raise and respond to queries in a structured way.
- Maintain a complete log of all communications for tracking purposes. (Audit trail facility)
- Provide clients and other participants with a view of the project as it is built; as some software have incorporated virtual reality models to denote the status of a project at any point in time (a snap shot view of a project)
- Provide a collaborative environment whereby the diverse participants can perform online collaboration via the web.



7.3 Trading (e-commerce)



Purchasing of materials is a lengthy and complex process, which requires the identification of considerable resources and potential suppliers as well as the evaluation of quotes, which are normally received in different formats. However trading on the Internet could be divided into online procurement, which is catalogue based and electronic trading which is document based. Whereas the former is based on online ordering and payments, the latter involves additional back office operations for processing the invoices and payments. Web-enabled Software used in this stage can:

- Save time in the procurement of materials by automating document distribution and communications (E-procurement).
- Reduce the administrative costs of document handling and distribution to multiple parties.
- Reduce errors due to effective communication.
- Ensure ease of comparison and evaluation of bids.

7.4 Availability of Web-enabled project management software

Over the past few years, the construction industry has witnessed the emergence of a number of powerful web-enabled software to monitor, control, manipulate and store project information and to make them available to all participants. Many of these software products cover a wide range of facilities and functionalities, which have made the management process of a construction project cost effective and efficient. Some of the software offer more comprehensive solutions for the entire life cycle of the project than others. For example "prime contract", project management software, which initially dealt with the feature of project information exchange, has the capability of performing the e-commerce function.

Architects' Journal (http://www.ajplus.co.uk/project_collaboration/) quotes a survey that was carried out by "Construction Plus" (<http://www.constructionplus.co.uk>) on 1500 British Construction projects with a total value of £20 billion, on the usage of Web-enabled Project Management software. The survey revealed that there are around 20 organisations/software vendors offering project collaboration solutions, in the UK, in ASP format (Application Service Provider). Together, they are supporting over 1500 "paying" projects with some 25,000 users. Prices of such software range from £150 per month to £2,200 per month (for a typical £5 million building project) depending on the level of functionalities, the number of users and the amount of computer storage space the project document requires. The survey results are given in Table 1. (over the page)



8.0 Samples Of Web-Enabled Project Management Software

The software available in the market is classified according to the stages identified in sections 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3: Tender Stage Exchange of Information, Design and Construction Stage Project Information Exchanges and trading (E-Commerce). These stages will be codified as stage A, B and C respectively for referencing purposes. Samples of web-enabled PM software are as follows:

- “ProjectsOnline”, “TenderOnline”, “TradeOnline” and “SuppliersOnline” developed by Build Online (<http://www.buildonline.com>)

BuildOnline has developed four products, which deal with the tender stage collaboration (stage A), project information exchange during design and construction stages (stage B) and for trading online (stage C). Stage A is supported by “TenderOnline”, stage B by “ProjectsOnline” and stage C by both “Tradeonline” and “SuppliersOnline”.

- “Information Channel” developed by The BIW Technologies (<http://www.biwtech.com>)

The product that BIW Technologies has developed is known as “Information channel”, which is an integrating tool for project management over the web supporting mainly stage B. A unique project specific website is created for all their clients by BIW around a knowledge database, which allows all the members in a project team to have the client’s building project data. Data can range from the earliest concepts and specifications, through detailed design, buildability studies, pre-fabrication and construction, and onto maintenance and operation of the building, and eventual demolition and dismantling of the facility. According to the Construction plus survey mentioned earlier, the project information channel is being used in 230 projects, more than any other provider in UK.

There are 582 different companies using the channel, with more than 3,500 individual users. Key clients include Capital One and J. Sainsbury’s.

- “TeamPlay” and “PrimeContract” by Primavera (<http://www.primavera.com>)

“TeamPlay” was specifically built to support large software development projects. It has web-based access to project performance metrics and enables all project participants to monitor project portfolio trends and drill down to obtain supporting project, cost and resource performance data. It supports stage B.


“PrimeContract” has an online system for collaboration and commerce and was introduced in 1999. The collaborating tool allows the project team members to access documents, view and red line drawings, collaborate, and exchange designs over the web. Project owners and contractors can use project workflow templates to track progress, identify milestones, generate and route project documents. Project teams can have online discussions, facilitate email notification, post industry and project news. It is said that “PrimeContract” could manage a project from inception through procurement, construction and facility maintenance. It supports stages B and C.

Company/Product	No. of UK Projects	Capital Value of projects (£m)	Users
4Projects.com / 4Projects	185	2,100	2,500
Architec Ltd / architec.net	10	80	250
Causeway Collaboration (Link with Arup)	47	6,500	1,820
Atkins / iProNet	200+	N/A	1,820
Bentley / Viecom	100s	N/A	100s
Bidcom / ProjectNet	96	4,700	2,698
Bricsnet / ProjectCenter	20	N/A	200
BIW Technologies / Information Channel	350	1,700	5,547
BuildOnline / ProjectsOnline	30	1,000	800
Buzzsaw / ProjectPoint	0	N/A	N/A
Cadweb	37	1,800	850
Causeway / ProjectLink	N/A	N/A	N/A
Enviros Ltd / Business Collaborator	30	1,350	4,000
eProject Enterprise / eProject Express	N/A	N/A	N/A
Framework Technologies / ActiveProject	6	N/A	150
Hummingbird DOCS fusion	120	300	6,000
Meridian Project Systems / ProjectTalk	N/A	N/A	N/A
OpenText / LiveLink	250+	N/A	100+
ProjectVillage	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sarcophagus / the project	50	550	1500
Web4 / WebWorks	8	N/A	50

Table 1 – UK Project Collaboration Survey Results

All of the above listed software cover one or more stages of the project life cycle as mentioned in the previous section. Popular US companies such as

Bentley, Primavera and Autodesk have also entered the UK market with their latest web-enabled software products.



- **Open Plan**

(<http://www.welcome.com/products/opp/>)

“Open Plan” is an enterprise wide project management software system that comes in three editions to serve the needs and responsibilities of project managers, team members, executives and sub contractors and supports them to share project management data across the enterprise. It is available in several languages. “Open Plan” supports mainly stage B.

- **Project.Net**

(http://project.net/scripts/SalSAPI.dll/website_in_ex.jsp)

“Project.Net” provides web-enabled solutions for project-based businesses. They create project portals (information banks) implement project offices; manage large portfolios of projects on behalf of clients. It could support stages A, B and C.

- **“4Projects”**

(<http://www.4projects.com>)

It supports collaborative working using a centrally located and maintained information management system. When a connection to the “4Projects” extranet system is made, a user has access to up-to-date correspondence, reports, drawings, events, queries, photographs, schedules etc., Following an extremely successful 3-year partnership between Leighton Ltd and a major construction company, hundreds of organisations and thousands of individuals now use the “4Projects” solution. Some of their users, include Microsoft, BAA, Slough Estates, Nationwide Building Society, Tesco, Taylor Woodrow, Marconi and BASS Leisure Retail. 4Projects.com retains a high percentage of repeat business, and is currently supporting projects with a value of over £2.1 billion. It mainly supports stage B.

- **Architec.net**

(<http://www.architec.net>)

“Architec” creates project specific websites that enables project participants to control usage of the extranet, upload documents of all descriptions and track their activity. Included in each of their project websites are:


- **Bulletin boards**
- **Load-up screens**
- **Large file exchange facilities**
- **Calendar facilities**
- **Directories of contractors, consultants and other specialists**
- **Key word search tools**

Architec.net has open document formats to cater to all stages of project management.

- **“iProNET”**

(<http://pronet.wsatkins.co.uk>)

Internet Project Network (“iProNET”) has been developed by WS Atkins and the product is being used in 300 projects worldwide. It consist of the entire general features of a web-based PM system but it specially offers many file formats for viewing project data and it supports multiple users viewing the same document. A significant characteristic of “iProNET” is that no two projects use the system the same way, different features are employed or customised on a case specific basis to ensure information management issues are appropriately addressed. “iPronet” could support stages A and B.



- **“Viecon”**

(<http://www.viecon.com>)

“Viecon” is Bentley System Inc.’s server-based Engineering Information Management (EIM) technology. Viecon technologies provide not only the communication and collaboration capabilities needed for access, but also the engineering and back-office tools needed to integrate engineering with other parts of the construction industry supply chain, thus enabling straight-through processing of information. “Viecon” is used in over 100 projects in the UK having about 1000 users. It supports CAD file formats of dxf, dng and dwg for data exchanges as well as bulk transfer of files between each type. “Viecon” therefore supports all the stages.

- **“ProjectCenter”**

(<http://corporate.bricsnet.com/about/solutions/design/projectcenter/>)

“ProjectCenter” is a creation of Briscnet, which is a Belgium based company. “ProjectCentre” is used in over 20 projects in UK and it has over 200 UK users. Its key business partners include Bank of America, Hilton Hotels, Beacon Skanska, Bouygues Construction and CB Richard Ellis. Colo.com and Jones LangLaSalle are among its main users. All standard file formats (wpd, doc, xls, ppt, etc) can be viewed with “ProjectCenter”, as well as the most common used drawing file formats (dwg, dxf, dgn, etc). “ProjectCenter” supports mainly stage B.

- **“ProjectPoint”**

(<http://www.buzzaw.com>)

Buzzaw.com’s “ProjectPoint” is a US based project information exchange tool having users in over 26,000 worldwide projects. “ProjectPoint” could offer solutions to all three types of data exchange mentioned earlier.

- **Cadweb.net**


(<http://cadweb.co.uk>)

“Cadweb” has evolved from being a purely research based software coding organisation into a provider of all the services required to successfully implement Electronic Project Management since 1995. Its web-based project information exchange tool, Cadweb.net is a fast and robust project information exchange, management and collaboration tool and their clients include property developers, owners, major contracting companies and many well-known professional organisations including architects, engineers, project managers and many other construction professions (supports stage B). They range in size from very large institutions to private individuals. Their website provides the full list of clients.

- **Causeway Collaboration**

(<http://www.causeway-tech.com>)

This is a new product released as a result of the link up between Causeway Technologies and Arup’s “Integration”. Causeway Collaboration delivers a wide range of features to project teams. These include the ability to: streamline procedures and highlight issues before they become problems; compare and contrast performance over time, providing continuous process improvement; focus on details first without losing sight of the total picture, work together transparently, reducing the risk of disputes and litigation; produce a complete project information archive automatically for the facility managers. The system supports over 250 file and object types. It supports all stages.



- **Business Collaborator**
(<http://www.enviros.com/bc/>)

It is Enviros Ltd.'s Business Collaborator, which powers WS Atkins' "iProNet" project collaboration tool, discussed earlier. In the UK, Business Collaborator claims 30 projects under management with a total capital value of £1,1 billion and 4,000 users. It supports stage B.

- **"eProject" Express**
(<http://www.eproject.com>)

"eProject" Enterprise, is a privately held software development company established in 1997 and based in Seattle, Washington. Its product, "eProject" Express is a generic product which could be used in many industries, which allow project teams to use the Internet to privately exchange information, track deadlines and milestones, solve problems, and discuss ideas from any computer with Web access. It therefore supports stage B.

- **"ActiveProject"**
(<http://www.frametech.com>)


Framework Technologies "ActiveProject" Software automatically creates, secure, and manage a dynamic Web site customized to the client's needs. "ActiveProject" provides the project team with its own private web site for real-time access to the information and communication tools they need to do their jobs. Number of current projects exceeds 175 worldwide, with over 5,000 users, though no information on capital value has been disclosed. There are six projects in the UK with 150 users. "ActiveProject" supports stage B.

- **"DOCS Fusion"**
(<http://www.hummingbird.com>)

Hummingbird Ltd. specializes in the development of decision enabling web-based work environments. Hummingbird's enterprise software solutions provide access to business critical information, structured and unstructured data. "DOCS fusion" being one of their products, is being used in 120 UK projects. Number of current users of "DOCS Fusion" has reached 1.5 million worldwide and the key construction clients include AMEC, Laing, Costain, Skanska and Gleeson. DOCS fusion supports mainly stage B.

- **"ProjectTalk"**
(<http://projecttalk.com>)

Meridian Project Systems who owns "ProjectTalk" is a project management application service provider (ASP) and software solutions company for the construction industry, headquartered in Sacramento, California. "ProjectTalk" is being used on 1,160 projects worldwide, with total capital value of around £25 billion. The number of current users has reached 2,700. "ProjectTalk" enables multiple project control in that it enables e-procurement, cost controlling, document management, collaboration, and field management within a single solution thus supporting stages A, B and C.



- **"ProjectVillage"**
(<http://www.projectvillage.com>)

"ProjectVillage" Inc. was established in USA in January 2000. Its aim is to allow "web-delivered schedule-compressing online knowledge-sharing real-time collaboration and e-commerce tools for the AEC industry". The company is servicing around 30 projects worldwide with a capital value of £60 million; there are 150 users in USA. It supports mainly stage B.

- **"the-project"**



"the-project", which is marketed by Sarcophagus Ltd was established in August 1999 in the UK. It is currently been used on 60 projects in the UK, with a total capital value of £700 million; there are 1,500 users. Among its clients are Asda-Walmart, Scottish Life, The Environment Agency and Norwich Union including Taylor Woodrow and Corus. The-project supports stages B and C.

- **"WebWorks" and "eReview"**
(<http://www.web4engineers.com/webapps/index.asp>)

Web4 Inc is a wholly owned subsidiary of netGuru and it is headquartered in Yorba Linda, California. Web4.Inc markets the products: "WebWorks" and "eReview". The number of current projects, which uses these products worldwide, is 100, with eight in the UK. There are currently 525 users of the suite worldwide, with 50 in the UK. It integrates ASP technology, project management, and real-time online collaboration thereby supporting mainly stage B.

Detail reviews about each of the above products are available on

http://www.nceplus.co.uk/proj_collaboration/.



9.0 Web-Enabled Project Management: Case Studies

There have been many success stories where web-enabled project management has been implemented in the Construction Industry. This section reviews a few documented case studies.

9.1 INMANCO

([Http://www.thebiw.com](http://www.thebiw.com), [Http://www.cite.org.uk](http://www.cite.org.uk))

Background

This case is concerned with an electronic document management system that is capable of facilitating, reviewing and updating of project drawings and specifications over the web using the Information Channel of the BIW Technologies. The cost savings mainly arise from not having to print drawings and specifications from each time and the capability to track changes electronically.

Benefits

The case study shows a £58,130 saving on a £5 million, thirty-week retail construction project, involving an international firm of management and construction consultants (INMANCO). The detail breakdown of the cost saving is as follows:

Printing costs for project drawings	£46,112
Postage costs for project drawings	£1,584
Copying costs and project specifications	£10,215
Postage costs and project specifications	£219
	£58,130

These are only the identified direct cost savings. Potentially much greater savings were achieved through reduction in mistakes and re-works and by avoiding unnecessary project delays. In the same study, the company estimated these additional indirect savings to be in the region of £300,000, which is 6% of the overall project costs. They were able to achieve this saving through the following means:

- **Avoiding delays**
Delays are avoided because team members do not have to wait for the arrival of updated drawings. Comments and requests for information are immediately delivered to the headlines page of the relevant team members, avoiding the delays and mistakes often caused by conventional methods of communication. Alternatively virtual meetings can be held at which all the required parties can view the same drawings and documents simultaneously, exchange comments in real time, and resolve an issue that might otherwise cause a delay in the project.
- **Reducing visits to site and travelling time to meetings**
Visits to site and travelling time to meetings can be reduced because the most up to date progress photographs are always available for viewing on the system.
- **Avoid mistakes**
Mistakes are avoided because all drawings and documents are always up to date and instantly available. There is no longer any risk that team members are acting on information that is out of date, or incomplete. The risk of issues being overlooked is also greatly reduced. Crucially, the system ensures that team members are always prompted (and reminded) through the headlines page of the issues that are relevant to their responsibilities within the project. Reminder emails are sent and copied to the project manager, if actions are not taken. When any headline or comment is read the software simultaneously displays the relevant drawing and zooms in to the section of the drawing that relates to the narrative.

- **Reducing time and money spent on disputes**
Time and money spent on disputes is reduced because the system creates a full audit trail containing all the minutes, actions comments, requests, approvals and notifications that are generated during the project. The database records: who has published what, when it has been viewed and by whom, for auditing purposes.

9.2. ALCOA

([Http://www.newgrange.org/tools_for_the_virtual_project_ma.htm](http://www.newgrange.org/tools_for_the_virtual_project_ma.htm))

Background

The main objective of the project was to make computer connectivity available to every ALCOA location worldwide so that the team could collaborate over the web. The project team consisted of ALCOA (a major aluminium company in the USA), Microsoft, and Hewlett Packard (HP) and the suppliers and distributors of ALCOA.

Collaboration via a Web Server

The project team decided to pursue a web solution although not explicitly stated in their terms of reference. The approach meets the monthly reporting requirements and also attempts to delight users with point and click navigation capabilities. In some respects it was an over-delivery of client expectations with the intent being to reduce monthly reporting costs and simplify a repetitive process.

Benefits

- Elimination of paper reports as all the information was sent on electronic form via the web.
- The team was able to leverage exiting firewall solutions to prevent unauthorised access to the web server.
- Automate repetitive routine processes

- Data sharing among all support entities.
- Integrated communication process promoting more accurate information transfer.

Problems

- The need to upgrade the firewall protection from time to time
- The need for a project manager with IT infrastructure knowledge
- Having to treat the web server as a full scale IT project, entailing:
 - Appropriate funding
 - Appropriate staffing
 - Utilisation of project management knowledge
- Need to understand the corporate culture to prevent clashes.

9.3 CATHQUARTER

([Http://www.Buildonline.com](http://www.Buildonline.com))


Background

The case study concerns using of a project collaborative tool ProjectsOnline, which is web enabled and have the capability to enhance close-knit cooperation and cross border participation of team members to achieve strict deadlines of projects.

Collaboration

In order to meet the completion deadline of this project, the project team chose ProjectsOnline to help manage the project. The Cathedral Quarter project involved collaboration between a Dublin based architect and developer, and a Northern Ireland structural engineer, services engineer and quantity surveyor.

As the architect firm issues drawings they are uploaded onto the project website, members of the project team in Belfast are notified



automatically and can retrieve these documents immediately, regardless of their location. Comments can then be posted online, thus reducing the turnaround time on documents and drawings from days to minutes. ProjectsOnline manages the team members' access rights, ensuring that members have access only to the data relevant to their roles so that the team members cannot do unauthorised alterations. New information that is posted in the website is alerted to all team members. Any member can access or submit drawings, documents etc., and view all project information on one secure location.

Benefits

- Substantial increase in the speed of communication, resulting in shorter lead-time on tasks.
- Increased accuracy of communications and therefore reduced errors and rework costs.
- Dramatic reductions in travel costs.
- Reduced cost of hardcopy production, distribution and storage.

9.4. TOTINS (Deng et al, 2001)

Background


The objective of TOTINS is to help information transfer more effectively during the construction process, between head office and its overseas construction sites. TOTINS uses a Linux (Unix based) and MS Windows 95 (PC based) for setting up the information transfer system. Logging to the remote host is achieved through telnet and transfer of files through File Transfer protocol (FTP). Telnet protocol allows an Internet user to log into a remote host from his/her local host computer. Due to its direct connection to the remote system, the system can provide a cheap and efficient method to get information compared to facsimiles, phone or snail mail. FTP is a way of sending files across the Internet. This function

enables file transfer from one computer to another irrespective of their operating systems. Security of the server information is provided through password access. Email and Internet chat is enhanced with on screen images, pictures or drawings can simulate telephone conversations and meetings. TOTINS was applied for project monitoring in a small scale residential project in China.

The main reason for adopting TOTINS was due to the extensive geographic separation of the head office and the site, which resulted in a very high cost being incurred for the existing project information system. Therefore an Internet based project information system was devised for data retrieval and processing by intelligent HTML and Java programming. The project information system focuses on information generation (data input at site), information transfer (Web-based communication) and information retrieval (intelligent graphical view on the Web). The data is submitted through a standardised form and it is saved in a text format in the head office web server. The java applets specifically programmed to handle the data in text format refreshes the parameters in the web server. Performance at the project site can be compared with the schedule / estimated performance. The schedule and the actual progress is automatically plotted graphically to get a clear picture of the site progress.

Benefits

- Improved efficiency brought about by speedy and accurate transfer of information between head office and sites.
- Better management and decision-making
- Savings on communication through the Internet as against traditional methods such as IDD phone calls and courier services.



9.5 "3COM" (Building Centre Trust, 1999)

Background

The project involves construction of office space/laboratory space for 3 COM, which is an American computer networking company with offices in 45 countries around the world. Design began in July 1996 and the buildings were completed in February 1998. The client emphasised using a partnering approach within the team to execute the project. The case explains how collaboration was achieved among the team members through electronic means via email.

Collaboration

Drawings were issued electronically as email attachments to each member of the team and requests for approvals and confirmations were also accepted via the same medium. 3COM provided the network file server and group working software (lotus notes) and backup facilities. In addition to the electronic form of collaboration, the client's project manager PPT – Integration insisted on a number of management procedures.

- Each organisation would check the file server three times a day to collect any new information.
- There would be only one person responsible within each organisation for the administration of the documentation (the network account holder)
- One hard copy of the drawings would be sent to each of the members of the project team as a control measure to check for any discrepancies.
- PPT-Integration would keep a log of all information issued.

Communication was achieved via ordinary telecommunication links with the central file server. Design consultants were only responsible for issuing an electronic drawing file to the file server



and for issuing a single printed copy to the other members of the project team. The contractor was responsible for duplicating the drawings at site, which were distributed to the site team and sub contractors.

Benefits

- The speed of communicating drawings increased (sending drawings by post or courier compared with the email attachment, which takes a few minutes to download)
- Due to the increased speed the team was able to agree an additional one million pounds worth of work without a time overrun on the project (About six weeks of time overrun was saved)
- Traditional monthly site meetings were converted to "information required meetings" so that all the team members need not participate in them. The contractor produced an electronic report and distributed to the team members.
- Reduction of delivery and copying costs that resulted from the contractor being more focussed on the precise requirements for drawing copies resulted in an overall saving for the project. (Approximately £25,000).
- Reduction in storage space for paper work as a result of more and more electronic storage.

Problems

- Costs of overcoming incompatibilities
- Team members possessed different degrees of IT sophistication. Therefore some members had to go back to earlier versions of the software to be in line with the rest of the team. The M & E contractor preferred to use the traditional draughting system, which needed to be input into a CAD based system elsewhere and transferred back to site.
- Technical issues such as inability to deal with



10.0 Analysis And Discussion

large file sizes and various security issues had to be overcome. Printing of drawings at site was not straight forward as it was reported that backgrounds had to be assembled and some layers were lost in the file transfer.



- Although the system worked well with the principal members of the team, some sub contractors found it difficult to match the technology.

The review of five case studies consisting of four construction projects and a collaborative project between an aluminium company, its distributors and suppliers indicate that the main aim was to improve communication between the team members of the project with the use of the Internet and Internet related tools. INMANCO and the CATHQUARTER used specific software developed for project management over the web; information channel and projectsOnline respectively. 3COM used Lotus Notes software provided by the client to send drawings as email attachments to all project participants and TOTINS used the File Transfer Protocol as the method to transfer. ALCOA used an unspecified collaboration tool for reporting purposes between the team members.

Due to the fact that communication is a root cause of most project failures as asserted by Biggs (1997) has been addressed by all of the above cases. They have also addressed major issues such as enhancing collaboration and increasing speed and accuracy of information transfers. The comparison of the cases' highlights and the main benefits of using web enabled project management software are given below in Table 2.

	INMANCO	ALCOA	CATHQUATER	TOTINS	3COM
Purpose of Collaboration	Reviewing and updating of project drawings over the web using "information channel"	Share and exchange project information	Share and exchange project information using projectsOnline	Transfer of files using FTP	Collaboration via Email and group working Software using Lotus notes
Main Benefits	1. Cost savings on document management 2. Audit trail	1. Cost saving on document management 2. Secure access	1. Reduction in travel costs	1. Savings on travel costs 2. Increased speed of communication	1. Increased speed of communication

Table 2 – Comparisons of Case Highlights



11.0 The Way Forward

Although communication problems have been addressed in all these cases, the current tools for performing project management over the web has other weaknesses. They could be listed out as follows.

Issue of Security of Project Information

Security is a major issue, which need to be addressed for any online collaboration between project team members. New technological developments have taken place in providing security to prevent unauthorised access to sites. But they impose a lot of financial constraints on project teams as the costs need to be incorporated into project feasibility studies.

Cultural Issues

Traditional practices such as “receipt of drawings to be confirmed in writing”, “issue of drawings to be under the architect’s chop”, etc. are still observed in the construction industry. Sending of drawings electronically between participants do not conform to these traditional practices and as such have not been well received in the industry.

Ownership of Drawings

Some designers perceive that holding data centrally (E.g. Design information), and downloading them as and when necessary (E.g. the case of 3COM phase II) from the server and printing at the downloaded destination, infringes their copyrights. Some may also perceive that when designs are held centrally they could lose control of the project.

Will Telepresence Replace Face-to-Face Meetings?

Although buzzwords like “virtual meetings”, “teleconferencing” are used frequently in construction, still the stage hasn’t reached whereby the periodic site/project meetings can be totally dispensed. However these web-enabled tools can enhance the productivity of the face-to-face meetings by providing timely and concise information in order to make more effective decisions.

Integrated Databases

All the cases that have been discussed, address the issue of communication by way of document-based exchanges. Taking the document-based exchange tools forward to provide integrated solutions at the object level can provide a better environment for exchanging data.



The government, industry and clients are all seeking to bring about change in the Construction Industry to improve quality, competitiveness and profitability and to increase value to clients. Implementation is through initiatives such as the Construction Task Force2, the Government Construction Clients Panel (GCCP), the activities of the Construction Industry Board (CIB), the Confederation of Construction Clients (CCC), and other CIB umbrella organisations. These initiatives are seeking to secure a culture of co-operation, teamwork, and continuous improvement in the performance of the industry. Where the emphasis has traditionally been on the need to manage the interface between the project and the client’s organisation, it is now shifting towards the need to manage the flow of activities across the supply chain, concentrating on those activities that actually add value.

In doing that, electronic data exchange, in particular Web-Enabled Project Management and e-commerce, has shown to have tremendous potentials not only in adding value to internal performance of an organisation but also to the whole supply chain and therefore the client. Unlike many IT tools, Web-based tools are very much concerned with the exchange of information across the project life cycle. Their successful implementation therefore will not only require a state of readiness within one organization, but within all those involved in this process. This makes the successful implementation of such tools difficult to be planned for and managed. Moreover, the implementation of Web-based tools have been hampered by the reluctance of participants to practice comprehensive sharing of knowledge and the absence of clear assessments of the business models which are most suited to the adaptation and adoption of web-enabled Project Management software.

Many Web-based developments have been led by end-user products, which have been divorced from the true integration with business processes. This

lack of a strategically driven implementation approach has resulted in resource wastage and unrewarding investment. Few years ago the construction industry in the UK has realised the potential of the Internet and its applications, in particular e-commerce, and was ready to embrace this technology through the development of Web-based systems. However, the level of implementation and penetration of such technology was not as expected. In January 2001, the building magazine has published a report on the failure of e-commerce to meet the expectation of the Industry despite the many attempts made by larger organisations to set up sophisticated systems to improve their businesses. Large systems were brought to halt because they didn’t meet their objectives. The outcome of this report coincides with all the research outcomes in this field, which show that technology push is not the only critical success factor for effective implementation of technology. All the attempts were technology driven and the quality of the process was not fully addressed. It is much more difficult to address the latter across the supply chain because of the different practices used in different organisations and the extra complexity dimension, which is added, by the type and level of incompatible computer systems used by these organisations.

Transacting data as part of an electronic exchange process require new roles, responsibilities, security and technology frameworks. This also leads to shifts in the boundaries of responsibilities, which could conflict with the existing contractual arrangements relating to execution of construction projects. In order for the construction industry to successfully embrace web-enabled project management tools, at a large scale, it must equally consider technology, process, people, procurement, legal issues and knowledge management. The efficiency of current processes must be carefully addressed and re-engineered to take advantage of the latest advances in technology. The industry should work towards



12.0 References

minimum common standards to facilitate the flow of information across the supply chain. Such standards will add significant value up the chain by allowing exchanged information to be fully integrated with business processes. People must have the necessary skills and the rewarding environment to harness the benefits of the Internet. It will be extremely difficult for construction organisations to achieve the required results of implementing web-enabled tools without fully addressing the management of change and how people can best be taken on board.

ACE (1995)
“Guidance on completion of agreement” A(1) A(2) B(1) and B(2), Association of Consulting Engineers Conditions of Engagement, UK.

Alshawi, M. and Underwood, J. (1999),
“The use of Computer in Construction Management”, Review series, The Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors, London, March 1999

Alshawi, M. (2000a),
“Future IT Challenges in Project Management”, Project Management and IT, The 7th Annual Conference of the Project Management Institute: Gulf Branch, Bahrain, February, pp A1-A19.

Alshawi, M. (2000b),
“Integrated Construction Environments: Technology and Implementation”, INCITE 2000: Implementing IT to obtain a competitive advantage in the 21st Century, Hong Kong, HK Polytechnic University press, Jan, 2000, pp 36-53.

Faraj, I., and Alshawi, M., (2000),
“SPACE: Simultaneous Prototyping for An integrated Construction Environment”, INCITE 2000: Implementing IT to obtain a competitive advantage in the 21st Century, Hong Kong, HK Poly Uni press, Jan, pp 466-484.

Anthony, M. (2000),
“Managing projects at Internet speed”, Project manager today, April 2000, pp 20 – 22.

Belbin, R.M. (1985), “Managing teams”, Heinemann, London.

BellHouse, J. (1999),
“New Approaches to Highway Procurement, Construction Law Journal,” Vol. 15.2, Sweet and Maxwell, UK.

Bennatan, E.M. (1992),
“Software Project Management”, McGraw-Hill, New York.

ACE (1995)
“Guidance on completion of agreement” A(1) A(2) B(1) and B(2), Association of Consulting Engineers Conditions of Engagement, UK.

Biggs, M. (1997),
“Why choose a web-based project management solution? (buyers guide)”, PC World, October 1997 vol. 15.10, pp 190(1)

Biggs, M. (2000),
“Why choose a web-based project management solution (buyers guide)”, InfoWorld, Gale group.

Brinner, W., Geddes, M. and Hastings, C. (1990),
“Project Leadership”, Gower, UK.

BSRIA, (1990),
“A Design manual: Application Guide”, The Building Services Research and Information Association, UK.

Building (2001),
“E-commerce crashes to earth”, Building Magazine, 12 January, 2001.

Building Centre Trust (1999),
“Electronic exchange of project information: 3COM project, phase 2”, Information Technology Construction best Practice, Case Study 1.


Built Environment and Transport Panel (2000),
“Foresight: Making the future work for you, Construction Associate Program, in DETR (1998), “Rethinking construction (The Egan Report)”.

CIOB (1996),
“Code of Practice for Project Management for Construction and Development”, 2nd Edition, Addison Wesley Longman, UK.

Cleland, D.I. and King, W.R. (1975),
“Systems analysis and project management”, 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Cleland, D.I. and King, W.R. (00),
“Electronic communication in contruction: Achieving commercial advantage”, Thomas Telford. UK

Cole, T. (2001), “Electronic Communication in Construction: Achieving Commercial Advantage”, Thomas Telford, UK.



Deng, Z. M., Li, H., Tam, C. M., Shen, Q. P. and Love, P. E. D. (2001),
“An application of the Internet-based project management system”, Automation in construction, Vol. 10, Elsevier, pp 239-246.

DETR (1998),
“Rethinking construction, The Egan report.”

DETR (2000),
“Construction Statistics Annual”, 2000 Edition, Department of the Environment, Transport and Regions, October 2000, London.

Edwards, A.D.N. (1998),
“Using the Internet in Project Management”, Conference on Improving the Quality of Life for the European citizen, 1998.

Ellis, P. D.
([Http://www.newgrange.org](http://www.newgrange.org): 19/03/2001)

Harrison, F.L. (1985),
“Advanced project management”, Gower.

[Http://cadweb.co.uk](http://cadweb.co.uk)

[Http://corporate.bricsnet.com/about/solutions/design/projectcenter](http://corporate.bricsnet.com/about/solutions/design/projectcenter)

[Http://officeupdate.microsoft.com/downloaddetails/projhsitory.htm](http://officeupdate.microsoft.com/downloaddetails/projhsitory.htm)

[Http://pronet.wsatkins.co.uk](http://pronet.wsatkins.co.uk)

[Http://project.net/scripts/SalSAPI.dll/website/index.jsp](http://project.net/scripts/SalSAPI.dll/website/index.jsp)

[Http://project.net/scripts/SalSAPI.dll/website/index.jsp](http://project.net/scripts/SalSAPI.dll/website/index.jsp)

[Http://projecttalk.com](http://projecttalk.com)

[Http://store.yahoo.com/riba-links/iscraper.html](http://store.yahoo.com/riba-links/iscraper.html)

[Http://www.ajplus.co.uk/proj_collaboration](http://www.ajplus.co.uk/proj_collaboration)

[Http://www.architec.net](http://www.architec.net)

[Http://www.biwtech.com](http://www.biwtech.com)

[Http://www.buildingwork.com](http://www.buildingwork.com)

[Http://www.builtonline.com](http://www.builtonline.com)

[Http://www.buzzaw.com](http://www.buzzaw.com)

[Http://www.causeway-tech.com](http://www.causeway-tech.com)

[Http://www.cite.org.uk](http://www.cite.org.uk)

[Http://www.constructionplus.co.uk](http://www.constructionplus.co.uk)

[Http://www.efqm.org](http://www.efqm.org)

[Http://www.enviros.com/bc](http://www.enviros.com/bc)

[Http://www.eproject.com](http://www.eproject.com)

[Http://www.frametech.com](http://www.frametech.com)

[Http://www3.gartner.com/init](http://www3.gartner.com/init)

[Http://www.hummingbird.com](http://www.hummingbird.com)

[Http://www.iai.org.uk](http://www.iai.org.uk)

[Http://www.integration.arup.com](http://www.integration.arup.com)

[Http://www.newgrange.org/tools_for_the_virtual_project_ma.htm](http://www.newgrange.org/tools_for_the_virtual_project_ma.htm)

[Http://www.opentext.com/affinity/listing/causeway.html](http://www.opentext.com/affinity/listing/causeway.html)

[Http://www.opentext.net/](http://www.opentext.net/)

[Http://www.primavera.com](http://www.primavera.com)


[Http://www.projectvillage.com](http://www.projectvillage.com)

[Http://www.4projects.com](http://www.4projects.com)

[Http://www.viecon.com](http://www.viecon.com)

[Http://www.web4engineers.com/webapps/index.asp](http://www.web4engineers.com/webapps/index.asp)

[Http://www.welcome.com/products/opp.](http://www.welcome.com/products/opp)



[Http://www.welcome.com/products/opp/](http://www.welcome.com/products/opp/)

Hunt, M.A., (1995),
“Strategic Implementation of Information Technology within the Construction Industry”, M.Sc. Dissertation, Department of Surveying, University of Salford.

Jackson, S. (1999),
“Exploring the possible reasons why the UK Government commended the EFQM excellence model as the framework for delivering governance in the new NHS”, International journal of healthcare quality assurance, Vol. 12.6.

Lientz, B. P. and Rea, K. P. (1998),
“Project management for the 21st century”, second edition, Academic Press.

Lock, D.,
“Project management”, Gower publishing, UK.

Ly, E. (1997),
“Distributed java applets for project management over the web”, Industry report, IEEE.

Moder, J.J., Phillips, C.R. and Davies, E.W. (1983),
“Project management with CPM / PERT and precedence diagramming”, Van Nostrand Reinhold.

Morris, P.W.G. (1994),
“The management of projects”, Thomas Telford.

O’Connel, F. (1994),
“How to run successful projects”, The BCS practitioner Series, Prentice Hall.

O’Reilly, J.J. (1987),
“Better building means better buildings, Building Research Establishment Report, UK.”

Peters, G. (1981),
“Project management and construction control”, Construction press.

Prince 2 focus (2000),
“Online: project management gives Yorkshire electricity the edge”, Project manager today, April 2000, pp 41-

Reiss, G. (1995a),
“Project Management Demystified: Managing multiple projects”, E &FN Spon, UK.

Reiss, G. (1995b),
“Project Management Demystified: Today’s tools and techniques”, 2nd Edition, E &FN Spon, UK.

RIBA (2000),
“Architect’s Plan of Work”, RIBA Publications, UK.

Rosenau, M. D. (1991),
“Successful project management”, Von Nostrand Reinhold.


Sakamoto, H., Makoto, H., Tetsuya, S., and Toru, I. (1997), Project management approach in Japan and advanced information technology to construction projects for the future”, *Proceedings of the Project Management Institute 28th Annual Seminars and Symposium, Chicago, Illinois., October 1997.*

Scanlin, J. (1998),
“The Internet as an enabler of the Bell Atlantic project office”, Project management journal, June 1998, pp 6-7.

Tuman J. (1998),
“Shaping corporate strategy with Internet based project management”, Conference: Project management 14th world congress, Ljubiana, 1998.

Tuman, J. (1997),
“Project management for the Twenty-First Century: The Internet – Based Cybernetic Project Team”, *Proceedings of the Project Management Institute 28th Annual Seminars and Symposium, Chicago, Illinois., October 1997.*

Underwood, J, Alshawi, M. (1997),
“Data and Process Models for the Integration of



Estimating and Valuation", Microcomputers in Civil Engineering, Blackwell, Vol 12, pp 393-405.


Walch, M.P., Antevy, J.N and Leo, K.S. (1998), *"The Internet: Project management in the next millennium – today"*, Proceedings of The International computing conference in civil engineering, Boston, Massachusetts, 1998.


Walker, A. (1984), *"Project Management in Construction"*, Granada, London.

Walker, D. H. T. (1999), *"Quality – using the World Wide Web for developing project management research"*, Facilities, Vol. 17. 5/6, pp 195-200.

Webb, A. (1994), *"Managing Innovative Projects"*, Chapman and Hall.

Wilson, A.J. (1976), *"Thirteenth Century Project Management"*, Building technology and Management, Vol. 14.7.





Web-Enabled Project Management